# SBRT and Personalized Medicine in the Abdomen & Thorax

### Kristy K Brock, PhD, DABR, FAAPM

Professor, Department of Imaging Physics The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

MDAnderson Cancer Center

Making Cancer History®

### Acknowledgments and Conflicts

- Licensing agreement with RaySearch Laboratories
- Chair, AAPM TG 132

- Martha Matuszak (UM)
- Laura Dawson (PMH)
- Mary Feng (UCSF)
- Marc Kessler (UM)
- Michael Velec (PMH)
- Molly McCulloch (UM)
- Liver and Lung SBRT teams at PMH, UM, MDACC, and around the world



### Objectives

- 1. Discuss imaging and registration for treatment planning of abdominal & thoracic targets.
- 2. Discuss treatment imaging for localization and monitoring.



### Planned, Dplan





### Planned, Dplan













#### THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

Planned, Dplan

F 42 26141 25 14:55:29 20









### Planned, Dplan





 $\mathbf{M}$ 

#### JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Critical Impact of Radiotherapy Protocol Compliance and Quality in the Treatment of Advanced Head and Neck Cancer: Results From TROG 02.02

Lecter I. Detere. Brian O'Sullivan, Jardi Ciralt, Thomas I. Eitraarald, Andu Tratti, Jacawas Barniar

### What leads to deviations in plans?

FAILURE TO ADHERE TO PROTOCOL SPECIFIED RADIATION THERAPY GUIDELINES WAS ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED SURVIVAL IN RTOG 9704—A PHASE III TRIAL OF ADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY AND CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR PATIENTS WITH RESECTED ADENOCARCINOMA OF THE PANCREAS

Ross A. Abrams, M.D.,\* Kathryn A. Winter, M.S.,<sup>†</sup> William F. Regine, M.D.,<sup>‡</sup> Howard Safran, M.D.,<sup>§</sup> John P. Hoffman, M.D.,<sup>||</sup> Robert Lustig, M.D.,<sup>†</sup> Andre A. Konski, M.D.,<sup>¶</sup> Al B. Benson, M.D.,<sup>\*\*</sup> John S. Macdonald, M.D.,<sup>††</sup> Tyvin A. Rich, M.D.,<sup>‡‡</sup> and Christopher G. Willett, M.D.,<sup>§§</sup>

\*Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL; <sup>†</sup>Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Statistical Center, Philadelphia, PA; <sup>‡</sup>University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD; <sup>5</sup>Brown University, Providence, RI; <sup>II</sup>Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA; <sup>¶</sup>Wayne State Medical Center, Detroit, MI; \*\*Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; <sup>††</sup>St. Vincent's Cancer Care Center, New York, NY; <sup>‡‡</sup>University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA; <sup>§§</sup>Duke University, Durham, NC



Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 66, No. 3, pp. 780–791, 2006 Copyright © 2006 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/06/\$–see front matter

CLINICAL INVESTIGATION

doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.05.035

Liver

#### PROSPECTIVE COMPARISON OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY AND MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING FOR LIVER CANCER DELINEATION USING DEFORMABLE IMAGE REGISTRATION

JON-PAUL VORONEY, PH.D., M.D.,\* KRISTY K. BROCK, PH.D.,\* CYNTHIA ECCLES, M.R.T.(T)(MR), C.M.D.,\* MASOOM HAIDER, M.D.,<sup>†</sup> AND LAURA A. DAWSON, M.D.\*

\*Radiation Medicine Program and <sup>†</sup>Department of Radiology, Princess Margaret Hospital, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

- 26 patients with liver cancer
- Tri-phasic CT and MR
- 5 patients with different number of foci
- Median of AVG distance between the CT and MRI tumor surface = 3.7 mm (2.2 – 21.3 mm)

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

### Uncertainties in RT: GTV/CTV Definition



MR









**CT/PET** 

### Histology

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

## Acquire Planning Image

# Acquiring Planning Image

- Goal:
  - Accurately define the tumor and critical normal tissues
  - Get the "best" image possible
- Method:
  - Suspend breathing at a known phase during image acquisition
  - Acquire 4D image
- Don't Forget:

- Integrate the images into 1 model of the patient

the university of texas MDAnderson <del>Cancer</del> Center

### **Tumor Definition & Normal Tissue**

- Multi-modality imaging
- Contrast
   Enhancement
- Optimal Imaging
   parameters







PET

#### SPECT

### **Tumor Definition & Normal Tissue**

- Multi-modality imaging
- Contrast
   Enhancement
- Optimal Imaging
   parameters





PET

MR





# Liver CT: Importance of Contrast

- Triphasic liver CT in treatment position
  - Omnipaque 300 2cc/kg to a maximum of 200cc
  - Injected 5 cc/sec
  - Arterial Delay (best for hepatoma) 30 sec
  - Venous Delay (best for metastases) 60 sec

Arterial phase contrast contrast, exhale breath hold



#### Courtesy of LA Dawson, Princess Margaret Hospital

the university of texas MDAnderson <del>Cancer</del> Center

# **Optimizing Imaging Time**

- Obtain the entire imaging FOV in 1 Breath hold
  - Reduces repeat breath hold artifacts
  - ~15-30 s imaging time
- ✓ Multi-slice CT
- ✓ MR w/ Parallel Imaging



**Breath Ho** 

### Role of Image Registration

- Error in registration creates a systematic error throughout Tx
  - Error in defining the tumor
  - Error in defining critical normal tissue
- Additional uncertainty margins may come at a cost
  - Ad hoc addition of uncertainty margins may decrease prescribed dose

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

### Image Registration: Accurate Target Definition

#### Prior to Deformable Registration







# **Clinical Example**

### DIR for Multi-Modality Planning

- Accuracy required: voxel level
- Uncertainties create a systematic error that propagates throughout the treatment



### **Clinical Effect**

Prior to Deformable Registration

GTV (defined on MR, mapped to CT for Tx)

• Assess uncertainty around GTV

 Add margin around GTV definition to account for uncertainty when required





Region of CT-defined GTV that is missed

### Image Registration: Free Breathing to Breath hold



Inhale



Obtained over Multiple Breathing Cycles





Average of the

- DIR has an expectation of aligning corresponding pieces of anatomy between each image
- Artifacts in the image challenge the registration locally
- Deforming a single-state of anatomy to a multistate anatomy has many challenges

Wolthaus et al, IJROBP 2008 Mar 15;70(4):1229-38

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

# Commissioning and QA: TG 132 Understand the whole picture

Phantom approach to understand characteristics of algorithm tive Olla Validation **Documentation and Evaluation** in Clinical **Environment** 

### Validation Tests and Frequencies

<b>Frequency</b>	Quality Metric	<b>Tolerance</b>
Acceptance and Commissioning	System end-to-end tests Data Transfer using physics phantom	Accurate
Annual or Upon Upgrade	Rigid Registration Accuracy (Digital Phantoms, subset)	Baseline
	Deformable Registration Accuracy (Digital Phantoms, subset)	Baseline
	Example clinical patient case verification	Baseline

# MDAnderson Cancer Center Phantom Approach: Rigid Geometric Data

- Helps us to learn the impact of the 'knobs' of the registration
- Validation of most straightforward case
- Similar to 20x20 field profile



\* Phantom Data Courtesy of ImSim QA

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

# MDAnderson Cancer Center Phantom Approach: **Rigid Anatomical Phantom**

- Multi-Modality ullet
- Translation Offset
- 1 additional (simple) layer of complexity

EOV Adjustm FOV MP Pw Sequences TR (Rep. time TE (Echotime DICOM Exp

DICOM Netw





### Deformable Lung

- Clinical Lung Data
- Simulated Deformed Lung



#### \*Courtesy DIR-lab, Dr. Castillo

4DCT 8

Image Dims: 512 x 512 x 128 Voxels (mm): 0.97 x 0.97 x 2.5 Features (#): 476 Displacement (mm): 15.16 (9.11)Repeats (#/#): 150/3 Observers (mm): 1.03 (2.19)

Lowest Error (mm): Observer Uncertainty Threshold THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

## derson Cancer Center Clinical Images: Quantitative Validation Techniques

- Landmark Based
  - Does the registration map a landmark on Image A to the correct position on Image B?
  - Target Registration Error (TRE)
- Contour Based
  - Does the registration map the contours onto the new image correctly?
  - Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC)
  - Mean Distance to Agreement (MDA)
- Additional Assessment
  - Jacobian, inverse consistency



### Request & Report

Clear identification of the image set(s) to be

ragiotarad	
Imaging and Registration	
Primary Imaging:	
CT ABC: Yes No	
Secondary Imaging: MRI Date: MRI sim from perfusion protocol	
Series: Images:	3
Registration Technique: 🖾 Rigid 🔲 Deformable	1.Dome & Mid-liver
Local Region of Importance: 3 (Hepatic Duct) Comments:	2.Left Lobe
Intended use of Registered Images:	3. Liver Hilum
I Tumor Definition I Normal Tissue Definition	4. Inferior of liver
Treatment Adaptation	-

### Target delineation

- Techniques to use (deformable or rigid)
- The accuracy required for the final use

Uncertainty Assessment	Phrase	Description
0	Whole scan aligned	<ul> <li>Anatomy within 1 mm everywhere</li> <li>Useful for structure definition everywhere</li> <li>Ok for stereotactic localization</li> </ul>
1	Locally aligned	<ul> <li>Anatomy local to the area of interest is un-distorted and aligned within 1mm</li> <li>Useful for structure definition within the local region</li> <li>Ok for localization provided target is in locally aligned region</li> </ul>
2	Useable with risk of deformation	<ul> <li>Aligned locally, with mild anatomical variation</li> <li>Acceptable registration required deformation which risks altering anatomy</li> <li>Registered image shouldn't be used solely for target definition as target may be deformed</li> <li>Increased reliance on additional information is highly recommended</li> <li>Registered image information should be used in complimentary manner and no image should be used by itself</li> </ul>
3	Useable for diagnosis only	<ul> <li>Registration not good enough to rely on geometric integrity</li> <li>Possible use to identify general location of lesion (e.g. PET hot spot)</li> </ul>
4	Alignment not acceptable	<ul> <li>Unable to align anatomy to acceptable levels</li> <li>Patient position variation too great between scans (e.g. surgical resection of the anatomy of interest or dramatic weight change between scans)</li> </ul>

# **Respiratory Motion: Overall Strategy**

- 1. Remove motion for diagnostic quality planning image
- 2. Measure motion for evaluation of impact for therapy
- 3. Select appropriate motion elimination/reduction/incorporation technique
- 4. Design image guidance strategy

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

### Measure Motion

### Motion Measurement Techniques

- Fluoroscopy
- 4D CT
- Breath hold CT
  - Normal Inhale BH
  - Normal Exhale BH
- Breath hold MR
- Cine MR

#### the university of texas **MDAnderson <del>Cancer</del> Center**



# 4D CT

- External surrogate to monitor motion
- Acquire repetitive images at each anatomical location for > 1 breathing phase
- Link images with breathing phase
- Reconstruct series of images at each breathing phase
  - Inhale, exhale, series of intermediate positions
# Maximum Intensity Projection – MIP

- Maximum intensity in that voxel over all phases
- Helpful for contouring ITV
- Limited to isolated tumors within the lung



Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 63, No. 1, pp. 253–260, 2005 Copyright © 2005 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/05/\$–see front matter

Table 4. Data from 12 patients comparing MIP-based ITVs with ITV<sub>10 phases</sub> and ITV<sub>3 phases</sub>, respectively



Fig. 8. (a) Example of a tumor located adjacent to the diaphragm, which was not fully visible on repeated maximum intensity projection scans as a result of the overlap with the diaphragm. (b) The extreme end-inspiratory tumor position was visible on the corresponding single-phase scan. (c) Maximum intensity projection information on this patient would underestimate the caudal extent of the internal target volume (orange and pink contours), compared with an  $ITV_{10 \text{ phases}}$  (green contour).

Fig. 1. Pixel-based intensity projection protocols from four-dimensional computed tomography (CT) data sets of a mobile tumor, illustrating (a) separate phases of the four-dimensional CT, (b) maximum intensity projection, (c) minimum intensity projection, and (d) mean intensity projection.

# **Breath Hold Imaging**

- Acquire 2 images
  - Inhale and Exhale
- Educate patient to ensure 'normal' exhale and inhale position



# **Quantifying Motion**

- 2D planar
- Flexibility in optimizing imaging plane
- Soft tissue contrast
- Close to 'real-time'

(1.5 Tesla GE) -SSFSE ≈ 1 frame/sec. ≈ 30 sec. -1 cm slice







Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 71, No. 4, pp. 1189–1195, 2008 Copyright © 2008 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/08/\$-see front matter

doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.11.026

### CLINICAL INVESTIGATION

Liver

### THREE-DIMENSIONAL MOTION OF LIVER TUMORS USING CINE-MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

ANNA KIRILOVA, B.SC.,\* GINA LOCKWOOD, M.MATH.,<sup>†</sup> PERRY CHOI, M.D.,<sup>‡</sup> NEELUFER BANA, B.SC. (HON),<sup>‡</sup> MASOOM A. HAIDER, M.D.,<sup>§</sup> KRISTY K. BROCK, PH.D.,\* CYNTHIA ECCLES, B.SC.,<sup>‡</sup> AND LAURA A. DAWSON, M.D.<sup>‡</sup>

Departments of \*Radiation Physics, <sup>†</sup>Biostatistics, <sup>‡</sup>Radiation Oncology, and <sup>§</sup>Medical Imaging, Princess Margaret Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Table 3. Absolute differences between fluoroscopy and MRI craniocaudal motion						
Tumor motion	n	%	>5 mm	>10 mm		
Fluoroscopy less than cine-MRI	17	48.6	9 (52.9)	5 (29.4)		
Fluoroscopy greater than cine-MRI	18	51.4	7 (38.9)	3 (16.7)		
All	35	100	16 (45.7)	8 (22.9)		

Abbreviation: MRI = magnetic resonance imaging. Data in parentheses are percentages.



Fig. 5. Correlation between craniocaudal motion according to diaphragm motion on fluoroscopy and tumor motion on cine-magnetic resonance imaging (n = 35).

# Accounting for Motion in Planning/Delivery

- Motion < 5 mm: incorporate into PTV</li>
- Motion > 5 mm:
  - Incorporate into PTV margin
    - Asymmetric patient-specific margins
    - Statistical PTV on mean position
  - Abdominal compression
  - Breath Hold
  - Gate Tx



Track tumor during Tx

\* Recommendations from AAPM Task Group 76

# **Incorporating Motion into PTV: Lung**

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008 Mar 15;70(4):1229-38. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.11.042.

Comparison of different strategies to use four-dimensional computed tomography in treatment planning for lung cancer patients.

Wolthaus JW<sup>1</sup>, Sonke JJ, van Herk M, Belderbos JS, Rossi MM, Lebesque JV, Damen EM.





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Radiotherapy and Oncology

journal homepage: www.thegreenjournal.com



PET in rectal cancer

The evaluation of a deformable image registration segmentation technique for semi-automating internal target volume (ITV) production from 4DCT images of lung stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) patients

Richard Speight<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jonathan Sykes<sup>a</sup>, Rebecca Lindsay<sup>a</sup>, Kevin Franks<sup>b</sup>, David Thwaites<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Medical Physics and Engineering; and <sup>b</sup> Department of Clinical Oncology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust, Leeds, UK

### **Results:**

- <u>18/25 ITVs had normalized DSC > 1</u> indicating an agreement with the manually produced ITV within 1 mm uncertainty.
- 4 of the other 7 ITVs were deemed clinically acceptable
- 3 would require a small amount of editing.

In general, ITVs produced by DIR were smoother than those produced by manual delineation. It was estimated that using this technique would save clinicians on average 28 min/patient.



#### Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Radiotherapy & Oncology



Fig. 3. Transverse MIP images showing that the ITV-CLIN volume is consistently larger than ITV-ABAS. Furthermore it appears that ITV-CLIN is overestimating the extent of tumour motion displayed on the MIP images. Images from the superior end, centre and inferior end of the ITV are shown on the left, centre and right, respectively. Images on the top, middle and bottom are from patients 7, 10 and 13, respectively. On all images the green, yellow and red contours are the ITV-ABAS-BSPLINE, ITV-ABAS-DEMONS and ITV-CLIN, respectively.

would save clinicians on average 28 min/patient.

# Incorporating Motion into PTV: Liver



PTV = Fused GTV + 5 mm Symmetric Margin

# Incorporating Motion into PTV: Liver







al and and and a

### Breath hold image

### Motion Measurements





### hronized BRT Patients

יD3,

Ing, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment 2016, Vol. 15(1) 55–59 © The Author(s) 2015 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1533034615572341 tct.sagepub.com

(\$)SAGE

**Figure 2.** The images corresponding to the short and long 4DCT are on the left and right, respectively; (A) maximum inhale phase, short 4DCT; (B) maximum inhale phase, long 4DCT; (C) maximum exhale phase, short 4DCT; (D) maximum exhale phase, long 4DCT; (E) average image data set derived from short 4DCT; (F) average image data set derived from long 4DCT; (G) coronal view of the average image data set, short 4DCT; and (H) coronal view of the average image data set, long 4DCT. The arrows on the left hand side indicate location of the disease. On the long scans (B, D, F, and H), the normal liver parenchyma has a density of 78 Hounsfield units (HU) and the tumor 76 HU (difference of 2 HU), whereas with the use of an optimally timed injection on the short scans (A, C, E, and G), the normal liver parenchyma density is 100 HU and the tumor 65 HU (difference of 35 HU). 4DCT, 4-dimensional computed tomography.

# **Abdominal Compression**





### Indexed frame Adjustable

screw

Not Compressed

### Compressed

### Compression plate

# **Benefits of Abdominal Compression**

- 62 patients on IRB SBRT liver protocol comp/no comp cine MRI at PMH
  - -24 HCC, 33 mets, 5 cholangio
  - 33 male, 29 female
- 46 Patients evaluated under fluoro with and without compression
- 2D Fiesta T2w single shot fast spin echo (SSFSE)
  - Temporal resolution of 1-3 images/second over 30-60 seconds



# Results – MRI

- In the majority of patients abdominal compression reduced tumour motion in all directions
- Maximum reductions seen in caudal-cranial directions

Motion reduction in all 3 directions	n=22 (49.0%)
Motion reduction in any 2 directions	n=17 (40.8%)
Motion increase in all 3 directions	n=5 (10.2%)

\*from first 49 patients only



# Free breathing Breath Hold RT





- Importance of patient screening
- Evaluation of reproducibility
- Importance of Image Guidance

## **CC** Reproducibility of ABC Breath Hold

	No.	Inter-fract.	Intra-fract.	
	Images	Reprod. (o)	Reprod. (o)	
Michigan	262	4.4 mm	2.5 mm	
Toronto	257	3.4 mm	1.5 mm	

IGRT required for maximal PTV reduction



Dawson LA. IJROBP 2001 Eccles, C, IJROBP, 2005

# So now we've planned our patient...





# Image Guidance Strategy

# Purpose of Image Guidance

- Localize reference position of tumor and surrounding anatomy
- Verify breathing motion or stability of breath hold
- Verify correlation with tracking/gating system
- Options: 2D, 3D, and 4D

# 2D kV Imaging







- Single image acquisition
  - At planned breathing phase for verification and alignment
- Cine image acquisition
  - Select planned breathing phase
  - Measure motion and ensure
- Alignment to DRR reference image from plan



# **3D Image Guidance: CBCT**



Focus registration on GTV, be mindful of normal tissue

A Bezjak, A Hope, Princess Margaret Hospital

### **Physics Contribution**

### Phantom and Clinical Study of Differences in Cone Beam



Fig. 3. Comparison of target density along the central superior-inferior line of each coronal image after registration. The target amplitude was 2 cm. (A) Profiles of the MIP and dynamic CBCT targets and (B) the density correlation. (C) Profiles of the AIP and dynamic CBCT targets and (D) the density correlation. Negative values indicate an inferior side from the center of the coronal image. AIP = average intensity projection; CBCT = cone beam computed tomography; MIP = maximum intensity projection.

computed tomography; MIP = maximum intensity projection.

negative values indicate an interior could sint from the basening

MDAnderson Cancer Center

### Strategies to consider breathing motion Wuerzburg

IGRT of liver tumors using 4D planning and free breathing CBCT: Liver outline as surrogate

### AVG CT



### Motion amplitude





### Free Breathing CBCT

### Guckenberger et al, IJROBP, 2008

### Strategies to consider breathing motion Wuerzburg Contour matching for IGRT of liver tumors



Challenges:

 Inhale an exhale 'contours' on free breathing CBCT not always clear

- Amplitude of breathing may change  $\rightarrow$  then what is the best strategy for matching?  $\rightarrow$  respiratory correlated CBCT and matching

Guckenberger et al, IJROBP, 2008

# Free Breathing IGRT: 4D CBCT



- Match tumor/critical organs at reference phase
- Ensure consistent breathing motion/coverage of PTV

# Importance of Monitoring Motion



\*Courtesy of Martha Matuszak, U of Michigan



Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 80, No. 3, pp. 938–946, 2011 Copyright © 2011 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/\$ - see front matter

doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.08.003

### PHYSICS CONTRIBUTION

### INTERFRACTION LIVER SHAPE VARIABILITY AND IMPACT ON GTV POSITION DURING LIVER STEREOTACTIC RADIOTHERAPY USING ABDOMINAL COMPRESSION

CYNTHIA L. ECCLES, B.SC., LAURA A. DAWSON, M.D., JOANNE L. MOSELEY, PH.D., AND KRISTY K. BROCK, PH.D.

### 83 CBCT scans from 16 patients with 30 GTVs

Table 3. Magnitude and direction of interfraction liver shape (95th percentile) changes for all patients and resultant GTV COM displacements after rigid liver-to-liver registration described as absolute and non-absolute minimum, maximum, median, mean, and standard deviation of means in left-right (LR), anterior-posterior (AP), superior-inferior (SI), and vector directions

	LR (mm)	AP (mm)	SI (mm)	Vector (mm)	Absolute LR (mm)	Absolute AP (mm)	Absolute SI (mm)
Liver							
Mean	1.9	2.2	1.5	4.6	2.8	3.6	2.7
SD	1.9	1.5	1.1	3.1	2.2	3.6	2.7
Maximum	8.6	5.0	4.8	15.6	10.5	12.9	5.6
Minimum	0.5	-1.6	0.5	1.7	1.2	2.1	1.0
Median	1.4	2.2	1.1	4.1	2.5	2.9	2.5
Tumor							
Mean	-0.3	-0.4	-0.5	0.00	0.9	1.3	0.8
SD	0.6	2.1	0.8	0.00	1.3	1.8	0.7
Maximum	8.6	5.4	6.7	0.5	14.6	16.9	6.7
Minimum	-14.6	-16.9	-3.5	0.00	0.00	0.1	0.00
Median	-0.3	0.5	-0.6	0.00	0.6	1.0	0.8

Abbreviations: GTV = gross tumor volume; COM = center of mass; LR = left-right; AP = anterior-posterior; SI = superior-inferior.

# Poor placement of abdominal compression plate noted on CBCT

### Planning CT





Liver contour from planning CT







the university of texas MDAnderson <del>Cancer</del> Center

# Breath Hold IGRT



- Acquire CBCT at (repeat) breath holds
- 3D alignment to reference phase
- Auto-Registration:
  - Align to GTV+margin when margin crosses an intensity gradient

# Breath Hold IGRT



- Acquire CBCT at (repeat) breath holds
- 3D alignment to reference phase
- Auto-Registration:
  - Align to GTV+margin when margin crosses an intensity gradient

the university of texas MDAnderson <del>Cancer</del> Center

# Breath Hold IGRT



- Acquire CBCT at (repeat) breath holds
- 3D alignment to reference phase
- Auto-Registration:
  - Align to GTV+margin when margin crosses an intensity gradient











# Dose Accumulation and Adaptation


Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 76, No. 3, Supplement, pp. S135–S139, 2010 Copyright © 2010 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/10/\$-see front matter

doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.06.093

#### **QUANTEC: VISION PAPER**

#### ACCURATE ACCUMULATION OF DOSE FOR IMPROVED UNDERSTANDING OF RADIATION EFFECTS IN NORMAL TISSUE

DAVID A. JAFFRAY, PH.D.,\* PATRICIA E. LINDSAY, PH.D.,\* KRISTY K. BROCK, PH.D.,\* JOSEPH O. DEASY, PH.D.,<sup>†</sup> AND W. A. TOMÉ, PH.D.<sup>‡</sup>

"Current efforts to maximize the therapeutic ratio require models that relate the true accumulated dose to clinical outcome. The needed accuracy can only be achieved through the development of robust methods that track the accumulation of dose within the various tissues in the body."



# Geometric Uncertainties in DIR Impact of Dose

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
<u>MDAnderson Cancer</u> Center

### New method to validate Deformable Image Registration

#### **Deformable 3D Presage dosimeters**





Control (No Deformation) Deformed (27% Lateral Compression)

Slides Courtesy of Mark Oldham and Shiva Das



### MDAnderson Cancer Center Dosimetric Accuracy of DIR for Liver V3%/3mm



\*4D Dosimeter data courtesy of M Oldham

M Velec, T Juang, JL Moseley, M Oldham, KK Brock.. Pract Radiat Oncol 2015

### Intensity Variation: Impact on CC/MSD

#### Clear intensity variation



No relevant intensity variation, noise/artifact



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MDAnderson Cancer Center

## Adaptive Radiotherapy Continuum

# **Increasing Sophistication**

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

Μ

#### **Increasing Sophistication**



•	NT	СР
---	----	----

- TCP
- Clinical Trials
- Protocols
- Radiomics

Outcomes

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

Μ

#### Increasing Sophistication





# Dosimetric Consequences of Precision

### Is the Delivered Dose = Planned Dose?

- Purpose(s):
  - Calculate the delivered SBRT dose with DIR
  - Evaluate if the breathing dose from 4D CT predicts for the delivered dose better than static dose distributions
- <u>Methods:</u>
  - 30 previous SBRT patients with 54 GTVs
  - Planned on exhale 4D CT for 27–60 Gy in 6 fractions
  - Mean 4D CT amplitude: 9 mm
  - Treated free-breathing after rigid liver alignment on 3D CBCT (retrospective 4D-sorting)

## **Delivered SBRT Doses**

### Planned Dose



#### ✓ Clinical standard

 Only solution for current treatment planning systems

### **Predicted Dose**

- Incorporates effects of breathing motion
- ✓ 'Better' dose estimate at planning

### **Delivered Dose**

- ✓ Incorporates most inter-fraction motion
- ✓ 'Best' estimate of actual delivered dose

Velec, Moseley, Craig, Dawson, Brock, IJROBP 83(4): 1132-40, 2012

### **Delivered SBRT Doses**



### **Delivered SBRT Doses**



Patients with a delivered dose deviation >5% to *any* tissue: 70% vs. Planned dose, 53% vs. Predicted dose

## Liver SBRT Dose Reconstruction

### Clinical Relevance and Impact:

Translates geometric uncertainties into dose deviations, potential to help interpret outcomes

### Summary:

- With ITV+5 mm PTV margins, tumor doses generally not affected by the treatment uncertainties
- Normal tissues doses are often decreased from planned (effects of residual errors > deformation > breathing variations)
- Modeling breathing motion at planning better correlated with the delivered dose, but still doesn't account for all uncertainties

Velec M, et al 'Accumulated dose in liver stereotactic body radiotherapy: positioning, breathing, and deformation effects. IJROBP. 2012 Jul 15;83(4):1132-40

#### the university of texas **MDAnderson <del>Cancer</del> Center**

Planned,

Dacc - Dplan

Anatomical variations lead to dosimetric discrepancies between planned and delivered dose resulting in:

- 1. Uncertainties in TCP calculations
- 2. Uncertainties in NTCP calculations
- 3. Uncertainties in the correlation of functional imaging with delivered therapy
- 4. Uncertainties in assessing the impact of novel drugs, therapy schedules, and techniques

acc

### Does Improved Accuracy in Dose Matter for Outcomes?

- 81 patients, 142 liver metastases
- accGTV calculated using DIR and daily CBCTs
- accGTV dose is a better predictor of TTLP compared to minPTV dose for liver metastases SBRT
- Univariate HR for TTLP for increases of 5 Gy in accGTV versus minPTV was 0.67 versus 0.74

Swaminath, Brock, Dawson, et al. IJROBP 2015

# Dose Accumulated Dose Impact NTCP Models?



Molly M McCulloch, M.S., Daniel G. Meunz, M.S., Graduate Students



## Summary

- Personalization of RT in the abdomen and thorax includes several aspects: imaging for planning, motion management, image guidance, and adaptation
- Careful integration of multi-modality imaging at planning is critical as it can create systematic errors
- Advanced motion managements can enable reduced dose to normal tissues
- Image guidance is critical to deliver the planned dose
- Improving the correlation between the planned and delivered dose will enable improved understanding of RT